Optimizing the operational efficiency of a PEM hydrogen fuel cell for applications in a hybrid electric vehicle



PRATT SCHOOL of ENGINEERING

Background

- Duke Electric Vehicles competes in the Shell Eco-Marathon annually
- 1st place Battery-Electric Prototype at 2017 competition 296 mi/kWh
- Equivalent to 9,976 MPG-e
- Expanding to hydrogen fuel cell category for 2018
- Eco-Marathon is competition for efficiency
- Previously: optimize aerodynamics, rolling resistance, weight, motor controller, battery management system
- New considerations with hydrogen fuel cell (H2FC) implementation in the electric vehicle

Objective

- Goal: optimize H2FC to maximize efficiency
 - Variables: humidity, temperature, pressure, purging frequency, fan speed, short circuit
 - Change operating conditions of commercial
- fuel cell by controlling variables Reduce variability in load to fix operating point
- Vehicle power has significant spikes in load during acceleration or climbing hills, while is constant and low while driving on flat roads



Methods





Optimize H2FC operational efficiency

- Generate IV curve for the fuel
- Derive power curve as a function of load
- Use flowmeter to determine efficiency vs. load
- Change variables, determine impact on efficiency Setup:
 - Compressed hydrogen tank + pressure regulator
 - Flowmeter attached between tank and H2FC
 - Slide resistors to manually change load
- 2 multimeters to determine voltage and current at each load value (voltmeter connected in parallel with fuel cell, ammeter in series)

 Energy in with flowmeter, energy out by IV curve Determine best way to vary controllable variables:

- Humidity, temperature, pressure, purging frequency, fan speed, short circuit
- Focused on pressure, purging frequency, fan speed: have biggest impact on efficiency
- Pressure: inlet pressure of hydrogen
- Purging: commercial fuel cell purges excess hydrogen + humidity every 10 s.
- Fan speed: cools fuel cell and provides air

Gerry Chen¹, Shomik Verma¹, Patrick Grady¹, Nico Hotz², Josiah Knight² ¹Duke Electric Vehicles Team

²Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science Department

Energy Storage

- Car speed kept constant to minimize aerodynamic losses
- Motor is off for majority of lap due to track elevation profile
- Power demanded in short, powerful bursts
- to store energy in supercapacitor bank

- Operates at 96% efficiency



Fuel Cell Characterization







Conclusions and Next Steps

• Planning to run fuel cell at constant 50W • Predicted efficiency is 53.5% • Optimal running parameters: • No purging, fan on, pressure 7.5 psig Next steps: • Experiment with inlet humidity effects Isolate air flow from temperature • Implementation of H2FC in vehicle (redesign) 478. March 2014.

of ABC. IEEE 2009. Predictive Control of Voltage and Current in a Fuel Cell-Ultracapacitor Hybrid. Greenwell and Vahidi. Clemson University. IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, Vol 57, No. 6, June 2016.





Fuel Cell Optimization

• Significant improvement over varying fuel cell load: 22% more efficient



References

Performance Improvement by Temperature Control of an Open- Cathode PEM Fuel Cell System. Strahl et al. Institut de Robòtica i Informàtica Industrial. Fuel Cells 14. No. 3, 466-

Optimal Temperature Control in PEM Fuel Cells. Riascos and Pereira. Federal University

Diagram of a proton conducting solid oxide fuel cell. Dervisoglu. May 2012